Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/884,531	MCCONNELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145
All Participants:	ticipants: Status of Application: <u>Restriction</u>	
(1) <u>Ajay M. Bhatia</u> .	(3) <u>Leslie S. Garmaise (47587)</u> .	
(2) <u>Pamela, Merkadeau (53318)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Election of claims		
Claims discussed: 1-10		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Ai Ai		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner connected current attorney of record Leslie S. Garmaise who informed the examiner that the case now has be transfer to Visto Corporation and a new representative Pamela Merkadeau is now representing the case. Examiner contacted the attorney Merkadeau who confirmed with the examiner that she is current the new attorney, but has yet to review the application. That examiner should mail the restriction to allow the attorney to correctly file a full power of attorney.